arXiv:0805.0274v1 [math.CA] 2 May 2008

Diamond-alpha Polynomial Series on Time Scales*

Dorota Mozyrska[1] **and Delfim F. M. Torres**[2]

[1]Faculty of Computer Science
Department of Mathematics
Białystok Technical University
Wiejska 45A, Białystok 15-351, Poland
admoz@w.tkb.pl

[2]Department of Mathematics
University of Aveiro
3810-193 Aveiro, Portugal
delfim@ua.pt

ABSTRACT

The objective of this paper is twofold: (i) to survey existing results of generalized polynomials on time scales, covering definitions and properties for both delta and nabla derivatives; (ii) to extend previous results by using the more general notion of diamond-alpha derivative on time scales. We introduce a new notion of combined-polynomial series on a time scale, as a convex linear combination of delta and nabla generalized series. Main results are formulated for homogenous time scales. As an example, we compute diamond-alpha derivatives on time scales for delta and nabla exponential functions.

Keywords: time scales, diamond-α derivatives, generalized polynomials, generalized series.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 40C99, 39A13, 40A30.

1 Introduction

Polynomial series are of great importance in control theory. Both continuous and discrete polynomial series are useful in approximating state and/or control variables, in modal reduction, optimal control, and system identification, providing effective and efficient computational methods [8, 12, 14].

From recent years, the theory of control for discrete and continuous time is being unified and extended by using the formalism of time scales: see [3, 4] and references therein. Looking to the literature on time scales, one understands that such unification and extension is not unique. Two main directions are being followed: one uses Δ-derivatives while the other chooses ∇-derivatives instead. In this paper we adopt the more general notion of diamond-α derivative [16], and give the first steps on a correspondent theory of polynomial series. As particular cases,

---

*Accepted to the 8th Portuguese Conference on Automatic Control – CONTROLO'2008, 21 to 23 July 2008, UTAD University, Vila Real, Portugal.

for $\alpha = 1$ we get Δ-polynomial series; when $\alpha = 0$ we obtain ∇-series. By choosing the time scale to be the real (integer) numbers, we obtain the classical continuous (discrete) polynomial series.

Diamond-alpha derivatives have shown in computational experiments to provide efficient and balanced approximation formulas, leading to the design of more reliable numerical methods [13, 16]. We claim that the combined dynamic polynomial series here introduced are useful in control applications.

2 The Δ, ∇, and $\Diamond_\alpha$ calculus

Here we give only very short introduction (with basic definitions) on three types of calculus on time scales. For more information we refer the reader to [1, 2, 6, 11, 15, 16].

By a time scale, here denoted by $\mathbb{T}$, we mean a nonempty closed subset of $\mathbb{R}$. As the theory of time scales give a way to unify continuous and discrete analysis, the standard cases of time scales are $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{Z}$, or $\mathbb{T} = c\mathbb{Z}$, $c > 0$.

For $t \in \mathbb{T}$, the forward jump operator σ and the graininess function μ are defined by $\sigma(t) = \inf\{s \in \mathbb{T} : s > t\}$ and $\sigma(\sup \mathbb{T}) = \sup \mathbb{T}$ if $\sup \mathbb{T} < +\infty$; $\mu(t) = \sigma(t) - t$. Moreover, we have the backward operator ρ and the backward graininess function ν defined by $\rho(t) = \sup\{s \in \mathbb{T} : s < t\}$ and $\rho(\inf \mathbb{T}) = \inf \mathbb{T}$ if $\inf \mathbb{T} > -\infty$; $\nu(t) = t - \rho(t)$. In the continuous-time case, i.e. when $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$, we have $\sigma(t) = \rho(t) = t$ and $\mu(t) = \nu(t) = 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. In the discrete-time case, $\sigma(t) = t + 1$, $\rho(t) = t - 1$, and $\mu(t) = \nu(t) = 1$ for each $t \in \mathbb{T} = \mathbb{Z}$. For the composition between a function $f : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ and functions $\sigma : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{T}$ and $\rho : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{T}$, we use the abbreviations $f^\sigma(t) = f(\sigma(t))$ and $f^\rho(t) = f(\rho(t))$. A point t is called left-scattered (right-scattered) if $\rho(t) < t$, ($\sigma(t) > t$). A point t is called left-dense (right-dense) if $\rho(t) = t$, ($\sigma(t) = t$). The set $\mathbb{T}^k$ is defined by $\mathbb{T}^k := \mathbb{T} \backslash (\rho(\sup \mathbb{T}), \sup \mathbb{T}]$ if $\sup \mathbb{T} < \infty$, and $\mathbb{T}^k = \mathbb{T}$ if $\sup \mathbb{T} = \infty$; the set $\mathbb{T}_k$ by $\mathbb{T}_k := \mathbb{T} \backslash [\inf \mathbb{T}, \sigma(\inf \mathbb{T}))$ if $|\inf \mathbb{T}| < \infty$, and $\mathbb{T}_k = \mathbb{T}$ if $\inf \mathbb{T} = -\infty$. Moreover, $\mathbb{T}^{k^{n+1}} := \left(\mathbb{T}^{k^n}\right)^k$, $\mathbb{T}_{k^{n+1}} := \left(\mathbb{T}_{k^n}\right)_k$ and $\mathbb{T}^k_k := \mathbb{T}^k \cap \mathbb{T}_k$.

For a function $f : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$, we define the Δ-derivative of f at $t \in \mathbb{T}^k$, denoted by $f^\Delta(t)$, to be the number, if it exists, with the property that for all $\varepsilon > 0$, exists a neighborhood $U \subset \mathbb{T}$ of $t \in \mathbb{T}^k$ such that for all $s \in U$, $|f^\sigma(t) - f(s) - f^\Delta(t)(\sigma(t) - s)| \leq \varepsilon|\sigma(t) - s|$. Function f is said to be Δ-differentiable on $\mathbb{T}^k$ provided $f^\Delta(t)$ exists for all $t \in \mathbb{T}^k$.

The ∇-derivative of f, denoted by $f^\nabla(t)$, is defined in a similar way: it is the number, if it exists, such that for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a neighborhood $V \subset \mathbb{T}$ of $t \in \mathbb{T}_k$ such that for all $s \in V$, $|f^\rho(t) - f(s) - f^\nabla(t)(\rho(t) - s)| \leq \varepsilon|\rho(t) - s|$. Function f is said to be ∇-differentiable on $\mathbb{T}_k$ provided $f^\nabla(t)$ exists for all $t \in \mathbb{T}_k$.

Example 2.1. The classical settings are obtained choosing $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{Z}$:

1. Let $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$. Then, $f^\Delta(t) = f^\nabla(t) = f'(t)$ and f is Δ and ∇ differentiable if and only if it is differentiable in the ordinary sense.

2. Let $\mathbb{T} = c\mathbb{Z}$, $c > 0$. Then, $f^\Delta(t) = \frac{f(t+c)-f(t)}{c}$ and $f^\nabla(t) = \frac{1}{c}\left(f(t) - f(t-c)\right)$ always exist.

It is possible to establish some relationships between Δ and ∇ derivatives.

Theorem 2.2. [6] *(a) Assume that* $f : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ *is delta differentiable on* $\mathbb{T}^k$*. Then,* f *is nabla differentiable at* t *and* $f^{\nabla}(t) = f^{\Delta}(\rho(t))$ *for all* $t \in \mathbb{T}_k$ *such that* $\sigma(\rho(t)) = t$*. (b) Assume that* $f : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ *is nabla differentiable on* $\mathbb{T}_k$*. Then,* f *is delta differentiable at* t *and* $f^{\Delta}(t) = f^{\nabla}(\sigma(t))$ *for all* $t \in \mathbb{T}^k$ *such that* $\rho(\sigma(t)) = t$*.*

A function $f : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ is called rd-continuous provided it is continuous at right-dense points in $\mathbb{T}$ and its left-sided limits exist (finite) at left-dense points in $\mathbb{T}$. The class of real rd-continuous functions defined on a time scale $\mathbb{T}$ is denoted by $C_{rd}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$.

If $f \in C_{rd}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$, then there exists a function $F(t)$ such that $F^{\Delta}(t) = f(t)$. The delta-integral is defined by $\int_a^b f(t)\Delta t = F(b) - F(a)$.

Similarly, a function $f : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ is called ld-continuous provided it is continuous at left-dense points in $\mathbb{T}$ and its right-sided limits exist (finite) at right-dense points in $\mathbb{T}$. The class of real ld-continuous functions defined on a time scale $\mathbb{T}$ is denoted by $C_{ld}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$. If $f \in C_{ld}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$, then there exists a function $G(t)$ such that $G^{\nabla}(t) = f(t)$. In this case we define $\int_a^b f(t)\nabla t = G(b) - G(a)$.

Example 2.3. Let $\mathbb{T} = c\mathbb{Z}$, $c > 0$, and $f \in C_{rd}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R}) \cap C_{ld}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$. Then, one has: $\int_a^b f(t)\Delta t = c\sum_{t=a}^{b-c} f(t)$, $\int_a^b f(t)\nabla t = c\sum_{t=a+c}^{b} f(t)$.

Definition 2.1. [13] Let $\mu_{ts} = \sigma(t) - s$, $\eta_{ts} = \rho(t) - s$, and $f : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$. The diamond-alpha derivative of f at t is defined to be the value $f^{\diamondsuit_\alpha}(t)$, if it exists, such that for all $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a neighborhood $U \subset \mathbb{T}$ of t such that for all $s \in U$,

$$\left|\alpha\left[f^{\sigma}(t) - f(s)\right]\eta_{ts} + (1-\alpha)\left[f^{\rho}(t) - f(s)\right]\mu_{ts} - f^{\diamondsuit_\alpha}(t)\mu_{ts}\eta_{ts}\right| \leq \varepsilon|\mu_{ts}\eta_{ts}|\,.$$

We say that function f is $\diamondsuit_\alpha$-differentiable on $\mathbb{T}_k^k$, provided $f^{\diamondsuit_\alpha}(t)$ exists for all $t \in \mathbb{T}_k^k$.

Theorem 2.4. *[13] Let* $f : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ *be simultaneously* Δ *and* ∇ *differentiable at* $t \in \mathbb{T}_k^k$*. Then,* f *is* $\diamondsuit_\alpha$*-differentiable at* t *and* $f^{\diamondsuit_\alpha}(t) = \alpha f^{\Delta}(t) + (1-\alpha) f^{\nabla}(t)$*,* $\alpha \in [0, 1]$*.*

Remark 2.1. The $\diamondsuit_\alpha$-derivative is a convex combination of delta and nabla derivatives. It reduces to the Δ-derivative for $\alpha = 1$ and to the ∇-derivative for $\alpha = 0$. The case $\alpha = 0.5$ has proved to be very useful in applications. For more on the theory of $\diamondsuit_\alpha$-derivatives than that we are able to provide here, we refer the interested reader to [11, 13, 15, 16].

The same idea used to define the combined derivative is taken to define the combined integral.

Definition 2.2. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{T}$ and $f \in C_{rd}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R}) \cap C_{ld}(\mathbb{T}, \mathbb{R})$. Then, the $\diamondsuit_\alpha$-integral of f is defined by $\int_a^b f(\tau)\diamondsuit_\alpha\tau = \alpha\int_a^b f(\tau)\Delta\tau + (1-\alpha)\int_a^b f(\tau)\nabla\tau$, where $\alpha \in [0, 1]$.

In general the $\diamondsuit_\alpha$-derivative of $\int_a^t f(\tau)\diamondsuit_\alpha\tau$ with respect to t is not equal to $f(t)$ [15].

Next proposition gives direct formulas for the $\diamondsuit_\alpha$-derivative of the exponential functions $e_p(\cdot, t_0)$ and $\hat{e}_p(\cdot, t_0)$. For the definition of exponential and trigonometric functions on time scales see, e.g., [6].

Proposition 2.5. *Let* $\mathbb{T}$ *be a time scale with the following properties:* $\sigma(\rho(t)) = t$*, and* $\rho(\sigma(t)) = t$*. Assume that* $t_0 \in \mathbb{T}$ *and for all* $t \in \mathbb{T}$ *one has* $1 + \mu(t)p(t) \neq 0$*, and* $1 - \nu(t)p(t) \neq 0$*. Then,*

$$e_p^{\diamondsuit_\alpha}(t, t_0) = \left[\alpha p(t) + \frac{(1-\alpha)p^{\rho}(t)}{1 + \nu(t)p^{\rho}(t)}\right] e_p(t, t_0)\,, \tag{2.1}$$

$$\hat{e}_p^{\diamondsuit_\alpha}(t, t_0) = \left[(1-\alpha)p(t) + \frac{\alpha p^{\sigma}(t)}{1 - \mu(t)p^{\sigma}(t)}\right] \hat{e}_p(t, t_0)\,, \tag{2.2}$$

for $t \in \mathbb{T}_k^k$.

Proof. Firstly, recall that $f^\sigma = f + \mu f^\Delta$ and $f^\rho = f - \nu f^\nabla$. Hence, $e_p^\nabla(t,t_0) = p^\rho(t) e_p^\rho(t,t_0) = p^\rho(t)\left(e_p(t,t_0) - \nu(t) e_p^\nabla(t,t_0)\right)$, and then $e_p^\nabla(t,t_0) = \frac{p^\rho(t)}{1+p^\rho(t)\nu(t)} e_p(t,t_0)$, from where it follows (2.1). Similarly, we have that $\hat{e}_p^\Delta(t,t_0) = p^\sigma(t)\hat{e}_p^\sigma(t,t_0) = p^\sigma(t)\left(\hat{e}_p(t,t_0) + \mu(t)\hat{e}_p^\Delta(t,t_0)\right)$, and then $\hat{e}_p^\Delta(t,t_0) = \frac{p^\sigma(t)}{1-p^\sigma(t)\mu(t)}\hat{e}_p(t,t_0)$, from where (2.2) holds. $\square$

Corollary 2.6. *Let* $t, t_0 \in \mathbb{T}$, $p(t) \equiv p$, *and* $1 - \nu^2(t)p^2 \neq 0$ *for all* $t \in \mathbb{T}$. *Then, for* $t \in \mathbb{T}_k^k$,
(a) $\sin_p^{\diamondsuit_\alpha}(t,t_0) = \frac{p}{1+\nu^2p^2}((1+\alpha\nu^2p^2)\cos_p(t,t_0) + (1-\alpha)\nu p \sin_p(t,t_0))$;
(b) $\cos_p^{\diamondsuit_\alpha}(t,t_0) = \frac{-p}{1+\nu^2p^2}((1+\alpha\nu^2p^2)\sin_p(t,t_0) - (1-\alpha)\nu p \cos_p(t,t_0))$;
(c) $\sinh_p^{\diamondsuit_\alpha}(t,t_0) = \frac{p}{1-\nu^2p^2}((1-\alpha\nu^2p^2)\cosh_p(t,t_0) - (1-\alpha)\nu p \sinh_p(t,t_0))$;
(d) $\cosh_p^{\diamondsuit_\alpha}(t,t_0) = \frac{p}{1-\nu^2p^2}((1-\alpha\nu^2p^2)\sinh_p(t,t_0) - (1-\alpha)\nu p \cosh_p(t,t_0))$.

Corollary 2.7. *Let* $t_0 \in \mathbb{T}$, $p(t) \equiv p$, *and* $1 - \mu^2(t)p^2 \neq 0$ *for all* $t \in \mathbb{T}$. *Then, for* $t \in \mathbb{T}_k^k$,
(a) $\widehat{\sin}_p^{\diamondsuit_\alpha}(t,t_0) = \frac{p}{1+\mu^2p^2}((1+(1-\alpha)\mu^2p^2)\widehat{\cos}_p(t,t_0) - \alpha\mu p \widehat{\sin}_p(t,t_0))$;
(b) $\widehat{\cos}_p^{\diamondsuit_\alpha}(t,t_0) = \frac{-p}{1+\mu^2p^2}((1+(1-\alpha)\mu^2p^2)\widehat{\sin}_p(t,t_0) + \alpha\mu p \widehat{\cos}_p(t,t_0))$;
(c) $\widehat{\sinh}_p^{\diamondsuit_\alpha}(t,t_0) = \frac{p}{1-\mu^2p^2}((1-(1-\alpha)\mu^2p^2)\widehat{\cosh}_p(t,t_0) + \alpha\mu p \widehat{\sinh}_p(t,t_0))$;
(d) $\widehat{\cosh}_p^{\diamondsuit_\alpha}(t,t_0) = \frac{p}{1-\mu^2p^2}((1-(1-\alpha)\mu^2p^2)\widehat{\sinh}_p(t,t_0) + \alpha\mu p \widehat{\cosh}_p(t,t_0))$.

3 Generalized monomials and polynomial series

Let $\mathbb{T}$ be an arbitrary time scale. Let us define recursively functions $h_k : \mathbb{T}\times\mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}$, as follows:

$$h_0(t,t_0) \equiv 1\,, \quad h_{k+1}(t,t_0) = \int_{t_0}^t h_k(\tau,t_0)\Delta\tau.$$

Similarly, we consider the monomials $\hat{h}_k(\cdot,t_0)$: they are the functions $\hat{h}_k : \mathbb{T}\times\mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}$, defined recursively by

$$\hat{h}_0(t,t_0) \equiv 1\,, \quad \hat{h}_{k+1}(t,t_0) = \int_{t_0}^t \hat{h}_k(\tau,t_0)\nabla\tau.$$

All functions h_k are rd-continuous, all $\hat{h}_k$ are ld-continuous. The derivatives of such functions show nice properties: $h_k^\Delta(t,t_0) = h_{k-1}(t,t_0)$, $t \in \mathbb{T}^k$; and $\hat{h}_k^\nabla(t,t_0) = \hat{h}_{k-1}(t,t_0)$, $t \in \mathbb{T}_k$, where $t_0 \in \mathbb{T}$ and derivatives are taken with respect to t. We have that

$$h_1(t,t_0) = \hat{h}_1(t,t_0) = t - t_0, \quad t, t_0 \in \mathbb{T}\,.$$

For $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$, $h_k(t,t_0) = \hat{h}_k(t,t_0) = \frac{(t-t_0)^k}{k!}$. Finding exact formulas of h_k or $\hat{h}_k$ for an arbitrary time scale is, however, not easy. From [7] we have the following result:

Theorem 3.1. *Let* $t \in \mathbb{T}_k \cap \mathbb{T}^k$ *and* $t_0 \in \mathbb{T}^{k^n}$. *Then,* $\hat{h}_k(t,t_0) = (-1)^k h_k(t_0,t)$ *for all* $k \in \mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}$.

Next proposition gives explicit formulas for homogenous time scales with $\mu(t) = c$, c a strictly positive constant. For that we need two notations of factorial functions: for $k \geq 1$ we define $t^{\underline{k}} = t(t-1)\cdots(t-k+1)$ and $t^{\overline{k}} = t(t+1)\cdots(t+k-1)$ with $t^{\underline{0}} := 1$ and $t^{\overline{0}} := 1$.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $c > 0$ and $\mathbb{T} = c\mathbb{Z}$. For $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ the following equalities hold:*
(a) $h_k(t, t_0) = c^k \binom{\frac{t-t_0}{c}}{k} = c^k \frac{\left(\frac{t-t_0}{c}\right)^{\underline{k}}}{k!}$*;*
(b) $\hat{h}_k(t, t_0) = c^k \frac{\left(\frac{t-t_0}{c}\right)^{\overline{k}}}{k!}$*.*

Proof. Firstly, $h_0(t, t_0) = \hat{h}_0(t, t_0) = 1$. Next we observe that $h^{\Delta}_{k+1}(t, t_0) = c^{k+1} \frac{\binom{\frac{\sigma(t)-t_0}{c}}{k+1} - \binom{\frac{t-t_0}{c}}{k+1}}{c}$ $= c^k \left(\binom{\frac{t-t_0}{c}+1}{k+1} - \binom{\frac{t-t_0}{c}}{k} \right) = c^k \binom{\frac{t-t_0}{c}}{k} = h_k(t, t_0)$. Hence, by the principle of mathematical induction, (a) holds for all $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Since $\hat{h}_k(t, t_0) = (-1)^k h_k(t_0, t)$, (b) is also true. ☐

Remark 3.1. Let $\mathbb{T} = c\mathbb{Z}$, $c > 0$. From the properties of factorial functions it follows:

1. if $t \geq t_0 \ \wedge \ k \geq \frac{t-t_0}{c} + 1$, then $h_k(t, t_0) = 0$;
2. if $t \leq t_0 \ \wedge \ k \geq \frac{|t-t_0|}{c} + 1$, then $\hat{h}_k(t, t_0) = 0$.

In particular, when $c = 1$ and $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{Z}$, we have:

1. if $t \geq t_0 \ \wedge \ k > t - t_0$, then $h_k(t, t_0) = 0$;
2. if $t \leq t_0 \ \wedge \ k > |t - t_0|$, then $\hat{h}_k(t, t_0) = 0$.

In the next section we need the following results.

Remark 3.2. Let $\mathbb{T} = c\mathbb{Z}$, $c > 0$, and $t \in \mathbb{T}$. Then, for $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, the following holds:
(a) $\frac{t^{\underline{k+1}}}{t^{\underline{k}}} = t - k, \ t \leq k$;

(b) $\frac{t^{\overline{k+1}}}{t^{\overline{k}}} = t + k, \ t \geq -k$.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $\mathbb{T} = c\mathbb{Z}$, $c > 0$, $t, t_0 \in \mathbb{T}$. Then,*
(a) $\lim\limits_{k\to\infty} \left| \frac{h_{k+1}(t,t_0)}{h_k(t,t_0)} \right| = c \quad$ *for* $t < t_0$*;*
(b) $\lim\limits_{k\to\infty} \left| \frac{\hat{h}_{k+1}(t,t_0)}{\hat{h}_k(t,t_0)} \right| = c \ $ *for* $t > t_0$*.*

Proof. Let $\mathbb{T} = c\mathbb{Z}$, $c > 0$, and $t, t_0 \in \mathbb{T}$. For $t < t_0$, it is enough to notice that $\frac{h_{k+1}(t,t_0)}{h_k(t,t_0)} = \frac{t-t_0-ck}{k+1}$ to prove (a). Equality (b) is proved in a similar way: for $t > t_0$, we have: $\frac{\hat{h}_{k+1}(t,t_0)}{\hat{h}_k(t,_0)} = \frac{t-t_0+ck}{k+1}$. ☐

Remark 3.3. [2] Let $t_0 \in \mathbb{T}^{k^n}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Then,

$$\hat{h}^{\nabla}_{k+1}(t_0, t) = -\sum_{j=0}^{k} \nu^j(t) \hat{h}_{k-j}(t_0, t) \tag{3.1}$$

for $\mathbb{T}_k \cap \mathbb{T}^k$.

As a consequence of (3.1) and equalities $f^{\sigma} = f + \mu f^{\Delta}$ and $f^{\rho} = f - \nu f^{\nabla}$, the following laws of differentiation of generalized monomials follow.

Corollary 3.4.
(a) $[h_{k+1}(t, t_0)]^{\nabla} = \sum_{j=0}^{k} (-1)^j \nu^j(t) h_{k-j}(t, t_0)$*;*
(b) $\left[\hat{h}_{k+1}(t, t_0)\right]^{\Delta} = \sum_{j=0}^{k} \mu^j(t) \hat{h}_{k-j}(t, t_0)$*.*

Example 3.5. Let $\mathbb{T}$ be an homogenous time scale with $\mu(t) = \nu(t) = c = const.$, $c \geq 0$. Let us recall that for $c = 0$ we have $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$ and for $c = 1$ we have $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{Z}$. Then, $[h_{k+1}(t,t_0)]^{\nabla} = \sum_{j=0}^{k}(-1)^j c^j h_{k-j}(t,t_0)$, $\left[\hat{h}_{k+1}(t,t_0)\right]^{\Delta} = \sum_{j=0}^{k} c^j \hat{h}_{k-j}(t,t_0)$.
For $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}$: $[h_{k+1}(t,t_0)]^{\nabla} = \left[\hat{h}_{k+1}(t,t_0)\right]^{\Delta} = \frac{(t-t_0)^k}{k!}$,
for $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{Z}$: $[h_{k+1}(t,t_0)]^{\nabla} = \sum_{j=0}^{k}(-1)^j h_{k-j}(t,t_0) = \binom{t-t_0}{0} - \binom{t-t_0}{1} + \cdots + (-1)^k\binom{t-t_0}{k}$, and $\left[\hat{h}_{k+1}(t,t_0)\right]^{\Delta} = \sum_{j=0}^{k} \hat{h}_{k-j}(t,t_0)$.

Proposition 3.6. *Let $t, t_0 \in \mathbb{T}$. Then,*
(a) $h_1^{\diamondsuit_\alpha}(t,t_0) = \hat{h}_1^{\diamondsuit_\alpha}(t,t_0) \equiv 1$;
(b) $h_{k+1}^{\diamondsuit_\alpha}(t,t_0) = h_k(t,t_0) + (1-\alpha)\sum_{j=1}^{k}(-1)^j \nu^j(t) h_{k-j}(t,t_0)$;
(c) $\hat{h}_{k+1}^{\diamondsuit_\alpha}(t,t_0) = \hat{h}_k(t,t_0) + \alpha\sum_{j=1}^{k} \mu^j(t)\hat{h}_{k-j}(t,t_0)$.

Proof. From the definition of $\diamondsuit_\alpha$-derivative and Corollary 3.4, we have:
$h_{k+1}^{\diamondsuit_\alpha}(t,t_0) = \alpha h_{k+1}^{\Delta}(t,t_0) + (1-\alpha)h_{k+1}^{\nabla}(t,t_0) = \alpha h_k(t,t_0) + (1-\alpha)\sum_{j=0}^{k}(-1)^j\nu^j(t)h_{k-j}(t,t_0) = h_k(t,t_0) + (1-\alpha)\sum_{j=1}^{k}(-1)^j\nu^j(t)h_{k-j}(t,t_0)$.
Next, $\hat{h}_{k+1}^{\diamondsuit_\alpha}(t,t_0) = \alpha\hat{h}_{k+1}^{\Delta}(t,t_0) + (1-\alpha)\hat{h}_{k+1}^{\nabla}(t,t_0) = \alpha\sum_{j=0}^{k}\mu^j(t)\hat{h}_{k-j}(t,t_0) + (1-\alpha)\hat{h}_k(t,t_0) = \hat{h}_k(t,t_0) + \alpha\sum_{j=1}^{k}\mu^j(t)\hat{h}_{k-j}(t,t_0)$. □

Theorem 3.7. [7] *Assume that f is $n+1$ delta-differentiable on $\mathbb{T}^{k^{n+1}}$. Let $t_0 \in \mathbb{T}^{k^n}$, $t \in \mathbb{T}$. Then,*

$$f(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} f^{\Delta^k}(t_0)h_k(t,t_0) + R_n(t,t_0), \tag{3.2}$$

where $R_n(t,t_0) = \int_{t_0}^{t} f^{\Delta^{n+1}}(\tau)h_n(t,\sigma(\tau))\Delta\tau$.

Theorem 3.8. [2] *Assume that f is $n+1$ times nabla differentiable on $\mathbb{T}_{k^{n+1}}$. Let $t_0 \in \mathbb{T}_{k^n}$, $t \in \mathbb{T}$. Then,*

$$f(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} f^{\nabla^k}(t_0)\hat{h}_k(t,t_0) + \hat{R}_n(t,t_0), \tag{3.3}$$

where $\hat{R}_n(t,t_0) = \int_{t_0}^{t} f^{\nabla^{n+1}}(\tau)h_n(t,\rho(\tau))\nabla\tau$.

By a polynomial real series we usually understand a series of the form $\Sigma_{k=0}^{\infty}a_k P_k(t)$, where $(P_k(t))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a given sequence of polynomials in the variable t and $(a_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a given sequence of real numbers. In the continuous case one has $P_k(t) = \frac{(t-t_0)^k}{k!}$. For the time scales we are considering in this paper, we have $P_k(t) = h_k(t,t_0)$ or $\hat{P}_k(t) = \hat{h}_k(t,t_0)$, and we speak about *generalized power series on time scales* [5, 9, 10].

Definition 3.1. Let $\mathbb{T}$ be a time scale and let us fix $t_0 \in \mathbb{T}$. By a Δ-*polynomial series (on $\mathbb{T}$, originated at t_0)* we shall mean the expression $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k h_k(t,t_0)$, $t \in \mathbb{T}$; by a ∇-*polynomial series (on $\mathbb{T}$, originated at t_0)* we mean $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k \hat{h}_k(t,t_0)$, $t \in \mathbb{T}$, where for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $a_k \in \mathbb{R}$. The sequence $(a_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ is called the corresponding sequence of the series.

Remark 3.4. For any fixed $t, t_0 \in \mathbb{T}$, both type of series become ordinary number series. If they are convergent for t we say that the polynomial series is convergent at t.

If $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{Z}$, then for each $t^* \in \mathbb{Z}$, $t^* \geq t_0$, the number series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k h_k(t^*, t_0)$ is convergent because it is finite. The same situation we have when $t^* \leq t_0$: $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k \hat{h}_k(t^*, t_0)$ is finite, so convergent.

In [5] and [9] it is proved the following:

Proposition 3.9. *Let* $t_0 \in \mathbb{T}$. *If the power series* $\sum\limits_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k \frac{(t-t_0)^k}{k!}$ *with the corresponding sequence of coefficients* $(a_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ *is convergent at* $t^* \in \mathbb{T}$ *and* $t^* \geq t_0$, *then the polynomial series is convergent for all values of* $t \in \mathbb{T}$ *such that* $t_0 < t < t^* \in \mathbb{T}$.

Two polynomial series of the same type can be added and multiplied by scalars giving the same type of series. We can define the Δ-derivative of Δ-polynomial series: $\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k h_k(t, t_0)\right)^{\Delta} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k+1} h_k(t, t_0)$. Similarly, we have the ∇-derivative of ∇-polynomial series in the form $\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k \hat{h}_k(t, t_0)\right)^{\nabla} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{k+1} \hat{h}_k(t, t_0)$. Additionally, if the Δ-polynomial series is convergent for $t \in \langle t_0, t^*) \cap \mathbb{T}$ and if the ∇-polynomial series is convergent for $t \in (t^*, t_0\rangle \cap \mathbb{T}$, then their derivatives are also convergent on the same sets. From Corollary 3.4 we obtain the following result.

Proposition 3.10. *Let* $t_0 \in \mathbb{T}$, $M > 0$, *and* $(a_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}}$ *be a sequence such that* $|a_k| \leq M^k$ *for each* k. *We have:*

(a) Let $I = \{t \in \mathbb{T} : \rho(t) \geq t_0 \ \wedge \ \nu(t) M < 1\}$. *Then, the series* $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k h_k(t, t_0)$ *is convergent for* $t \in I$, *and* $\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k h_k(t, t_0)\right)^{\nabla} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-1)^j \nu^j(t) a_{j+k+1}\right) h_k(t, t_0)$ *exists and it is convergent for* $t \in I$.

(b) Let $J = \{t \in \mathbb{T} : \sigma(t) \leq t_0 \wedge \mu(t) M < 1\}$. *Then, the series* $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k \hat{h}_k(t, t_0)$ *is convergent for* $t \in J$, *and* $\left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k \hat{h}_k(t, t_0)\right)^{\Delta} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \mu^j(t) a_{j+k+1}\right) \hat{h}_k(t, t_0)$ *exists and it is convergent for* $t \in J$.

Remark 3.5. Let $\mathbb{T} = c\mathbb{Z}$, $c > 0$. There is no problem with convergence (i) in points $t \geq t_0$ for series of the first type, (ii) at points $t \leq t_0$ for series of the second ("hat") type, because such series are finite.

In [5] and [9] one can find generalized series for an exponential $e_p(t, t_0)$ with constant function $p(t) \equiv p$: for $t \in \mathbb{T}$ and $t \geq t_0$ one has $e_p(t, t_0) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p^k h_k(t, t_0)$. It follows that $e_p^{\Delta}(t, t_0) = p \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p^k h_k(t, t_0)$, which gives the rule $e_p^{\Delta}(t, t_0) = p e_p(t, t_0)$.

As in Proposition 2.5, let us consider now a time scale with $\sigma(\rho(t)) = t$. Then,

$$e_p^{\nabla}(t, t_0) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p^{k+1} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-1)^j \nu^j(t) p^j\right) h_k(t, t_0), \tag{3.4}$$

where $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-1)^j \nu^j(t) p^j = \frac{1}{1 + p\nu(t)}$ if $|p\nu(t)| < 1$. This gives that

$$e_p^{\nabla}(t, t_0) = \frac{p}{1 + p\nu(t)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p^k h_k(t, t_0) = \frac{p}{1 + p\nu(t)} e_p(t, t_0), \tag{3.5}$$

and then $e_p^{\Diamond_\alpha}(t, t_0)$ is as in Proposition 2.5.

In [10] it is proved that $\hat{e}_p(t, t_0) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p^k \hat{h}_k(t, t_0)$ for $t \geq t_0$. Then, we have that $\hat{e}_p^{\nabla}(t, t_0) = p \hat{e}_p(t, t_0)$. We obtain that the Δ-derivative of $\hat{e}_p(\cdot, t_0)$ with respect to t is given by the formula

$\hat{e}_p^{\Delta}(t,t_0) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} p^{k+1}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\mu^j(t)p^j\right)\hat{h}_k(t,t_0)$, where $\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\mu^j(t)p^j = \frac{1}{1-p\mu(t)}$ if $|p\mu(t)| < 1$. This gives that $\hat{e}_p^{\Delta}(t,t_0) = \frac{p}{1-p\mu(t)}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}p^k\hat{h}_k(t,t_0) = \frac{p}{1-p\mu(t)}\hat{e}_p(t,t_0)$ and then $\hat{e}_p^{\diamondsuit_\alpha}(t,t_0)$ is also as in Proposition 2.5.

4 Combined series

The diamond-α derivative reduces to the standard Δ derivative for $\alpha = 1$ and to the standard ∇ derivative for $\alpha = 0$. The same "weighted" type definition is proposed for the diamond-α integral. Based on this simple idea, we introduce diamond type monomials. Let us begin with the trivial remark that for any $f : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{R}$ we can write $f(t) = \alpha f(t) + (1-\alpha)f(t)$.

Theorem 4.1. *Assume that f is $n+1$ delta- and nabla-differentiable on $\mathbb{T}^{k^{n+1}}$ and $\mathbb{T}_{k^{n+1}}$, respectively. Let $t_0 \in \mathbb{T}_{k^n} \cap \mathbb{T}^{k^n}$, $t \in \mathbb{T}$. Then,*

$$f(t) = \alpha S_n(t,t_0) + (1-\alpha)\hat{S}_n(t,t_0) + \tilde{R}_n(t,t_0),$$

where $S_n(t,t_0) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} f^{\Delta^k}(t_0)h_k(t,t_0)$, $\hat{S}_n(t,t_0) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} f^{\nabla^k}(t_0)\hat{h}_k(t,t_0)$, and $\tilde{R}_n(t,t_0) = \alpha R_n(t,t_0) + (1-\alpha)\hat{R}_n(t,t_0)$, with remainders $R_n(t,t_0)$ and $\hat{R}_n(t,t_0)$ given as in Theorems 3.7 and 3.8.

Definition 4.1. Let $\mathbb{T}$ be a time scale and $t_0 \in \mathbb{T}$. By a *combined-polynomial series (on $\mathbb{T}$, originated at t_0)* we shall mean the expression

$$S_\alpha(t,t_0) := \alpha\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k h_k(t,t_0) + (1-\alpha)\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k\hat{h}_k(t,t_0), \tag{4.1}$$

where $t \in \mathbb{T}$ and $\alpha \in [0,1]$.

Remark 4.1. If in (4.1) we put $\alpha = 1$, then we have a Δ-polynomial series. For $\alpha = 0$ we obtain ∇-polynomial series. A combined-series is convergent if both types of polynomial series are convergent. For fixed $t, t_0 \in \mathbb{T}$ we get usual number series, so we can say that the series originated at t_0 is convergent at t if it is convergent as a number series.

Proposition 4.2. *Let $\mathbb{T} = c\mathbb{Z}$, $c > 0$, and $(a_0, a_1, \ldots)$, $(b_0, b_1, \ldots)$ be two real sequences with nonzero elements such that $\lim\limits_{k\to\infty}\left|\frac{a_{k+1}}{a_k}\right| < \frac{1}{c}$, $\lim\limits_{k\to\infty}\left|\frac{b_{k+1}}{b_k}\right| < \frac{1}{c}$. Then, the combined-polynomial series*

$$S_\alpha(t,t_0) = \alpha\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k h_k(t,t_0) + (1-\alpha)\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k\hat{h}_k(t,t_0)\,,$$

$\alpha \in [0,1]$, is convergent for all $t \in \mathbb{T}$.

Proof. Based on Proposition 3.3, we consider: $t = t_0$, when combined-series $S_\alpha(t_0,t_0) = \alpha a_0 + (1-\alpha)b_0$; $t > t_0$, when the first part is finite the second is convergent; $t < t_0$, when we have opposite situation to the previous one. □

Example 4.3. Let $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{Z}$ and $f(t) = 2^t$. Then, $f^{\Delta^k}(t) = 2^t$ and $f^{\Delta^k}(0) = 1$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}\cup\{0\}$. Additionally, $2^t = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} h_k(t,0) = \sum_{k=0}^{t}\binom{t}{k}$ for any $t \geq 0$. But this series is not convergent for

$t < 0$. We have $f^{\nabla^k}(t) = 2^{t-k}$ and $f^{\nabla^k}(0) = 2^{-k}$. The series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} \hat{h}_k(t,0)$ is convergent for any $t \in \mathbb{Z}$. For that let $c_k(t) = \frac{1}{2^k}\hat{h}_k(t,0) = \frac{t^{\bar{k}}}{2^k k!}$. Then,

$$\lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{c_{k+1}(t)}{c_k(t)} = \lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{(t+1)(t+2)\cdots(t+k)}{2^{k+1}(k+1)!} \cdot \frac{2^k k!}{t(t+1)(t+2)\cdots(t+k-1)} = \lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{k+t}{2(k+1)t} = \frac{1}{2}$$

for each fixed $t > 0$. The combined-polynomial series has the form $\alpha \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^k}{k!} + (1-\alpha) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} \frac{t^{\bar{k}}}{k!}$ and is convergent for $t \geq 0$.

5 Conclusions

Polynomial series have been used in the literature for solving a variety of problems in control. In this paper we define Taylor series via diamond-alpha derivatives on time scales and provide the first steps on the correspondent theory. Such a theory provides a general framework that is valid for discrete, continuous or hybrid series. We trust that the polynomial series here introduced are important in the analysis of control systems on time scales.

Acknowledgments

The first author was supported by Białystok Technical University grant S/WI/1/07; the second author by the R&D unit CEOC, via FCT and the EC fund FEDER/POCI 2010.

References

[1] R. P. Agarwal and M. Bohner. Basic calculus on time scales and some of its applications. *Results Math.*, 35(1-2):3–22, 1999.

[2] D. R. Anderson. Taylor polynomials for nabla dynamic equations on time scales. *Panamer. Math. J.*, 12(4):17–27, 2002.

[3] Z. Bartosiewicz, Ü. Kotta, E. Pawłuszewicz, and M. Wyrwas. Algebraic formalism of differential one-forms for nonlinear control systems on time scales. *Proc. Estonian Acad. Sci. Phys. Math.*, 56(3):264–282, 2007.

[4] Z. Bartosiewicz and E. Pawłuszewicz. Realizations of linear control systems on time scales. *Control Cybernet.*, 35(4):769–786, 2006.

[5] M. Bohner and G. S. Guseinov. The convolution on time scales. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.*, pages Art. ID 58373, 24, 2007.

[6] M. Bohner and A. Peterson. *Dynamic equations on time scales. An introduction with applications.* Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 2001.

[7] R. J. Higgins and A. Peterson. Cauchy functions and Taylor's formula for time scales $\mathbb{T}$. In *Proceedings of the Sixth International Conference on Difference Equations*, pages 299–308, Boca Raton, FL, 2004. CRC.

[8] G. Jacobsohn. A discrete Taylor series method for the solution of two-point boundary-value problems. *J. Franklin Inst.*, 338(1):61–68, 2001.

[9] D. Mozyrska and E. Pawłuszewicz. Functional series on time scales. *Int. J. Math. Stat.*, 2(S08):94–105, 2008.

[10] D. Mozyrska and E. Pawłuszewicz. Remarks on delta and nabla generalized polynomials and polynomial series. Technical report, Bialystok Technical University, 2008.

[11] U. M. Ozkan and H. Yildirim. Steffensen's integral inequality on time scales. *J. Inequal. Appl.*, pages Art. ID 46524, 10, 2007.

[12] P. N. Paraskevopoulos, A. S. Tsirikos, and K. G. Arvanitis. New Taylor series approach to state-space analysis and optimal control of linear systems. *J. Optim. Theory Appl.*, 71(2):315–340, 1991.

[13] J. W. Rogers, Jr. and Q. Sheng. Notes on the diamond-α dynamic derivative on time scales. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 326(1):228–241, 2007.

[14] I. Sadek, T. Abualrub, and M. Abukhaled. A computational method for solving optimal control of a system of parallel beams using Legendre wavelets. *Math. Comput. Modelling*, 45(9-10):1253–1264, 2007.

[15] Q. Sheng. Hybrid approximations via second order combined dynamic derivatives on time scales. *Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ.*, pages No. 17, 13 pp. (electronic), 2007.

[16] Q. Sheng, M. Fadag, J. Henderson, and J. M. Davis. An exploration of combined dynamic derivatives on time scales and their applications. *Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl.*, 7(3):395–413, 2006.